

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,382	01/11/2002	Wendell W. Cattron	P 0283275 D1142	5496
43569 75	90 04/05/2006		EXAMINER	
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP			SERGENT, RABON A	
	STREET, N.W. INGTON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1711	
		DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
.	10/042,382	CATTRON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rabon Sergent	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E. 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 and 25 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	relection requirement. relection requirement. repted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/042,382

Art Unit: 1711

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 12, 2005 has been entered.

Page 2

- 2. Claims 1-20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants have failed to provide support for urethane (meth)acrylate components according to formula (b), wherein the multifunctional isocyanate is other than a diisocyanate. Within lines 3 and 4 of page 11 of the specification, applicants have defined formula (b), such that I represents a diisocyanate residue. Accordingly, support has not been provided for the formula being based on other than diisocyanate. Furthermore, support has not been provided for X₂ being a distinct component or formula (c) being a residue; however, they have been claimed as such.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for urethane (meth)acrylates wherein the number of linkages, A-X₁-O- (otherwise known as X₂), within formula (b), corresponds to the number of isocyanate groups of the multifunctional isocyanate, does not reasonably provide enablement for urethane (meth)acrylates, wherein the number of linkages, A-X₁-O- (otherwise known as X₂), within formula (b), does not correspond to the number of isocyanate groups of the multifunctional

Art Unit: 1711

isocyanate. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicants have only provided enablement for the urethane (meth)acrylates set forth within page 11 of the specification, and the position is taken that this disclosure only provides for urethane (meth)acrylates that are governed by the aforementioned condition. As claimed, the multifunctional isocyanate may be other than a diisocyanate; however, formula (b) only allows for two occurrences of X₂; therefore, formula (b) allows for the urethane (meth)acrylate being isocyanate functional, and applicants have not provided enablement for this permutation. The position is taken that one could not practice the invention as claimed without resorting to undue

4. Claims 1-20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

experimentation. In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Since X_2 is a radical of formula (b), it is unclear how it can be defined as a component. Similarly, it is unclear how formula (c) can be defined as a residue.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

R. Sergent April 2, 2006